

Joost Broekens Koen Hindriks Martijn Wisse

The developed countries face a serious demographic challenge. In these countries, almost one fifth of the population was aged 60 or older in the year 2000, and by 2050, this proportion is expected to reach one third (UN, 2002). The ageing population will require more elderly care than available and elderly wish to keep an independent life at home for as long as possible. However, without assistance for physical and cognitive functions (i.e., there may be problems with walking as well as with remembering to take medicine), staying independent is impossible (Maas et al, 2001).

According to Statistics Netherlands functional limitations of elderly can be categorized into four groups, namely hearing, seeing, mobility and speaking. Mobility problems are by far the most prominent limitation for elderly, and this problem increases significantly with age. 14% of men above 65 have mobility problems while for women this is 33%. Within the age group of 75+ these percentages are higher with 23% for men and nearly 50% of the women (CBS, 2010).

The general advice to elderly with mobility limitations is to stay active as much as possible. Nevertheless, there are numerous scenarios in which their independence would strongly increase if a robot is around to assist. Such a robot, for example, could perform fetch-andcarry tasks in order to tidy up the floor and other surfaces, or handing objects such as a phone, remote control, food, or drink to the elderly person.

The feasibility of fetch-and-carry robots has already been demonstrated by mounting an intuitive point-and-click interface on a mobile robot with a robotic arm (Jain and Kemp, 2010). A typical example of such a fetch-and-carry robot is provided by the Delft Personal Robot (see illustration). For large-scale usage of a robot like this the robot design should be lowcost and support grasp adaptivity required for long-term operation in an environment with a broad variety of objects. The Delft Personal Robot is able to fetch, carry and hand over objects with a variety of shapes including objects such as towels, paper cups, phones, etc.

Fetch-and-carry robots will operate in an environment surrounded by elderly people that



are novice users of such technology. Apart from operating effectively and safely, it is very important that the interaction between the robot and the user is intuitive and feels natural. This means that the robot not only must be able to understand and follow-up on commands, but also must be able to respond to various forms of spontaneously communicated positive and negative human feedback about the performance of the robot. This requires that the robot is able to interpret explicit and implicit feedback signals provided via body language (pointing, nodding, shaking), emotional expressions (frowning, smiling), and affective speech (no, yes, good job, nice robot) by a human. This natural communication often involves a component of emotion. Therefore, future service robots, and fetch-and-carry robots in particular, need to be social robots to be accepted and usable in the long term (Dauthenhahn et al. 2007). Experiments have demonstrated that social functions of robots are very important in elderly care settings (Heerink, 2010; Looije et al. 2006).

A recent exhaustive review of assistive social robots showed that, although there are promising results, much work still has to be done (Broekens, Heerink, Rosendal, 2009). A large number of studies show positive effects of either the robot or its placebo version.

Further the elderly seem to be open to this kind of technology. The review provides several observations and recommendations that need follow-up. In summary, it states that large-scale experiments are needed that are rigorously set up, and an adequate methodology is needed to perform such studies and to compare them. Setting up a large scale, national and international European programs to establish the merits of these -- and related –assistive social devices is of great importance for the elderly as well as for technology-assisted healthcare.

United Nations, "World population ageing 1950-2050," 2002. www.un.org/esa/population/publications/worldageing19502050

Maas, M. L.; Buckwalter, K. C.; Hardy, M. D.; Tripp-Rimer, T.; Titler, M. G.; and Specht, J. P. 2001. Nursing Care of Older Adults: Diagnoses, Outcomes, and Interventions. St. Louis, MO: Mosby, Inc.

CBS, retrieved 15-12-2010, <u>http://www.cbs.nl/nl-NL/menu/themas/gezondheid-welzijn/publicaties/artikelen/archief/2008/2008-2642-wm.htm</u>

Jain, A., and Kemp, C.C., EL-E: An Assistive Mobile Manipulator that Autonomously Fetches Objects from Flat Surfaces, Autonomous Robots, 2010. V28(1): p. 45-64.

J. Broekens, M. Heerink, H. Rosendal. Assistive social robots in elderly care: a review. Gerontechnology 2009; 8(2):94-103; doi: 10.4017/gt.2009.08.02.002.00.

Kerstin Dautenhahn, 2007, Socially intelligent robots: dimensions of human–robot interaction. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2007 April 29; 362(1480): 679–704. Rosemarijn Looije, Fokie Cnossen, Mark A. Neerincx, 2006, Incorporating guidelines for health assistance into a socially intelligent robot, in: The 15th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (ROMAN'06), pp. 515 – 520.

Heerink, M., (2010) Assessing acceptance of assistive social robots by older adults. PhD Thesis. University of Amsterdam.